To overcome the current performance wall, data streaming and data-flow computing paradigms have been gradually making their way into the general-purpose domain. However, the proliferation of such paradigms is often hindered by the lack of compilation support, as their execution model is usually incompatible with the internal static single-assignment form used in modern compilers. Accordingly, we propose a new compilation flow that leverages the LLVM infrastructure to automatically extract and encode the memory access pattern and computation data-flow graph with streaming representations. The proposed compilation flow is used to generate code for the recently presented Unlimited Vector Extension, which tackles the shortcomings of vector-length agnostic single-instruction multiple-data extensions by deploying a data streaming paradigm with implicit memory access and loop control. We show that our proposed tool is capable of detecting, representing, and vectorizing a much wider range of loop patterns than existing solutions while providing significant performance gains.

Data-level parallelism explored by single-instruction–multiple-data (SIMD) instruction set architecture (ISA) extensions is currently viewed as a de facto solution to accelerate general-purpose high-performance computing (HPC) workloads. However, these extensions traditionally rely on fixed-size registers (e.g., Intel AVX or ARM NEON) posing a nontrivial question regarding the vector length, as its optimal size is workload dependent and leads to portability issues when scaling the vector size.

Vector-length agnostic (VLA) SIMD extensions mitigate this issue by allowing runtime configuration of the vector length [as in RISC-V vector extension (RVV)]—see Figure 1(c)—or by relying on loop predication [as in ARM SVE]—see Figure 1(d)]. As an added benefit, VLA also helps to solve the classic vectorization issue, where the compiler has to inject loop tails when the loop trip count does not evenly divide the vector length. However, the additional instruction overhead required to control the vector length and its execution may actually hinder the application throughput when compared to traditional SIMD extensions.

Meanwhile, data-flow and stream-based approaches have been gaining momentum, mostly driven by the emergence of domain-specific architectures. Although not applicable to all classes of applications, they allow programmers to explore several complementary features to increase throughput, such as memory access decoupling and specialization, data prefetching, and efficient parallel computation. These benefits recently drove the adoption of data streaming beyond domain-specific computing into general-purpose processors, as an attempt to push past the limitations of the von Neumann architecture. In particular, most dynamic accesses in known workloads are characterized by affine or indirect data patterns susceptible to data streaming. This allows data transfers to be offloaded to specialized modules to increase the application throughput and improve the functional units utilization and energy efficiency.

However, data streaming is not circumscribed to affine and indirect access patterns. As long as the
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UNLIMITED VECTOR EXTENSION

The main aim of the recently proposed UVE is the combination of VLA processing with data streaming in modern general-purpose processors (RISC-V based), providing significant performance improvements over the state-of-the-art counterparts (e.g., ARM SVE). UVE essentially allows to describe data streams with specific instructions, as illustrated in Figure 3(b1) and explained in the next sections. A detailed specification can be found in Domingos et al.7

Instruction Set Architecture

UVE is a scalable vector extension with support for all conventional data types, from byte to double-word, and the usual set of operations provided by RISC-V. Besides the natural adoption of a vector register file, it includes 32 predicate registers (allowing per-lane execution control and enabling control-dependent memory accesses), as well as a streaming interface that provides effective and timely prefetching of data, while facilitating vectorization by linearizing noncoalesced memory accesses. Each data stream is implicitly associated with a specific vector register, allowing any instruction to transparently consume data from (or produce to) the corresponding stream. As such, the progression/iteration of streams is automatic and happens after each interaction with the vector. With the adopted register predication, the boundary conditions of vector processing are automatically solved by disabling the out-of-bounds elements. This, in turn, allows loop control to be performed with only a basic set of stream-conditional branches.

The stream model is defined by using a hierarchical descriptor-based representation. It encodes each dimension of the affine formulation defined in (1) in a set of dedicated instructions [see Fig 3(b1), Code Generation], while also providing mechanisms to combine multiple functions and to allow for complex and indirect access patterns.

Microarchitecture Baseline

To support UVE, the processor pipeline aggregates a dedicated Streaming Engine (Figure 4) besides minor adaptations to its architecture. In particular, the decode stage, the register file, and some execution units are extended to support the UVE instruction-set extension, embracing new vector registers and the corresponding logic, arithmetic and branch functional units. Stream renaming (analogous to vector register renaming) is introduced to support the speculative configuration of new streams while others (with the same logical naming) are still executing. In the commit stage, it is added support for the commit and squash of streams, by signaling the Streaming Engine with all speculation and commit events related to the streams under processing (configuration, iteration, and termination).

The Streaming Engine itself is responsible for managing the state of the streams and issuing memory requests. It consists of: 1) a stream state management block, where the state is synchronized with the pipeline speculation state; 2) multiple address generation units (AGUs), which process the configured streams into the respective addresses (in parallel), issuing them to the core load/store unit (LSU); and 3) a set of load and store first-in-first-outs (FIFOs) that buffer data between the core and memory, providing lower latency in the accesses.

The speculation state is synchronized between the relevant pipeline stages and the streaming engine, transparently embedding the latter, and ensuring that data are always processed in the program order with all data dependencies satisfied. The stream iteration process (after each read/write from/to a stream register) is handled through the iteration of the streaming engine FIFOs, where both speculative and effective (committed) states are present. Finally, to minimize the impact on caches and avoid the inclusion of additional L1 access ports, input/output stream requests are merged with conventional memory loads and stores, before accessing L1 (see Figure 4).

address sequence of the memory access is mathematically deterministic, it is possible to describe it using an hierarchical combination of affine equations. Based on this knowledge, we recently took a step forward from existing general-purpose streaming solutions by proposing the Unlimited Vector Extension (UVE)7 (see “Unlimited Vector Extension”). In essence, UVE is a vector extension with an execution model that distinguishes itself by combining both VLA and data streaming paradigms. The latter leverages a formal mathematical model to encode complex, multidimensional, strided, and indirect memory accesses in a descriptor-based representation, thus covering a wide range of HPC access patterns. UVE enables automatic streaming of data to the processor vector registers, while linearizing scatter-gather operations, simplifying vectorization. It also effectively transforms each computational loop into a data-flow execution scheme
with implicit, indexing-free memory accesses and control-flow, thus simplifying the loop code and reducing the number of executed instructions. As a result, it provides significant throughput gains regarding other VLA extensions, such as ARM SVE.\(^7\)

WE PRESENT A NEW COMPILATION FLOW THAT AUTOMATICALLY EXTRACTS THE MEMORY ACCESS PATTERNS AND THE EXECUTION DATA-FLOW GRAPH (DFG) OF A TARGET APPLICATION, TO GENERATE THE CORRESPONDING CODE FOR A STREAM-BASED EXECUTION MODEL.

Conversely, the stream-based execution model of UVE (and other competing solutions\(^5,8\)) brings several new challenges regarding compiler support, particularly due to its implicit data-flow execution model. Non-conventional paradigms tackle similar issues by making use of high-level languages with domain-specific abstractions.\(^9,10\) However, it is not straightforward to transform regular application code from ubiquitous languages (e.g., C/C++) into a stream-based execution model embedded in a general-purpose ISA.

To tackle this issue, we present a new compilation flow that automatically extracts the memory access patterns and the execution data-flow graph (DFG) of a target application, to generate the corresponding code for a stream-based execution model. We start by identifying that the main problem (and part of the solution itself) lies with the abstraction level provided by the typical static single assignment (SSA) form of the intermediate representation (IR) used in modern compilers (e.g., LLVM IR). In fact, IRs are often fundamentally incompatible with the implicit memory accesses and loop control introduced by the stream-based execution model of UVE (and similar extensions), since loop induction variables are no longer explicitly required. Nevertheless, it is possible to take advantage of the loop canonicalization that is performed in the IR to expose the memory access pattern. With this information, we show how to detect and encode data streams into a sequence of hierarchical descriptors outside the compiler typical flow and the IR SSA form, as well as how to apply stream-related transformations and vectorization over the computational DFG. Finally, we also show how to generate machine code for streaming extensions, particularly UVE. With the proposed compilation tool, we provide a significant contribution and complement to our previous work,\(^7\) which was solely focused on

FIGURE 1. Representation of the saxpy kernel in (a) C code and (b) LLVM IR format; in VLA SIMD extensions pseudoassembly based on (c) vector length configuration and (d) loop predication; and pseudoassembly stream-based extensions with (e) explicit and (f) implicit stream iteration [(f1) using hardware loops and (f2) with stream vectors]. Note the presence of the induction variable exposed in (b) in examples (c), (d), and (e) and its implicit elimination in (f).
on the design and implementation of the scalable streaming vector ISA extension in out-of-order pipelines.

**STREAM SPECIALIZATIONS AND UVE**

Early attempts to bring stream-based paradigms into modern processors experienced some success by specializing their memory access to facilitate the prefetching of repeated access patterns, allowing the execution pipeline to read data directly from dedicated stream buffers [see Figure 1(e)]. However, it was later realized that streaming specializations could be extended all the way into the processor pipeline, by configuring all memory access patterns in the loop preamble and automatically streaming data directly to the processor’s registers. This way, memory accesses become totally implicit to the processor, allowing the application code to be devoid of instructions for indexing, load/store, and induction variable-based loop control [see Figure 1(f)]. In turn, it results in a twofold acceleration by speeding up data acquisition and decreasing loop instructions. This approach is the core of solutions such as the SSR [see Figure 1(f)] and the UVE ISA extensions.

However, while SSR was conceived as a dedicated streaming extension, UVE was designed as a VLA extension with transparent data streaming (see Unlimited Vector Extension for more details). In fact, the main goal of UVE is to address the limitations of VLA SIMD, while offering a new level of acceleration. Hence, besides its implicit memory access and loop control, its stream-based paradigm combines some of the scalable vectorization characteristics of VLA, such as vector-length predication and autoscaling (to automatically disable vector elements that fall out of loop bounds), with enhanced vectorization capabilities (e.g., handling reductions with uneven element counts without loop tails). This was achieved through a formal mathematical model to represent complex multidimensional, strided, and indirect memory access patterns through exact descriptor representations encoded in the loop preamble. These representations also allow linearizing memory access patterns, simplifying vectorization. The model follows the typical structure of nested for loops and is represented by an n-dimensional affine function

\[ y(X) = y_{base} + \sum_{k=0}^{\text{dim}_y} x_k \times S_k, \]

with \( X = \{x_0, \ldots, x_{\text{dim}_y}\} \) and \( x_k \in [O_k, E_k + O_k] \).

Hence, each stream access \( y(X) \) is described as the sum of the base address of an n-dimensional variable \( y_{base} \) with \( \text{dim}_y \) pairs of indexing variables \( (x_k) \) and stride multiplication factors \( (S_k) \), where each \( k \) value corresponds to a dimension of the pattern (usually, bound to a different loop in the code). Each indexing variable \( x_k \) is represented by an integer range, varying between \( O_k \) and \( E_k + O_k \), where \( E_k \) is the number of data elements in dimension \( k \) and \( O_k \) represents an indexing offset. The indexing variable \( x_0 \), corresponding to the first dimension of the variable, has an offset \( (O_0) \) equal to 0 and is associated with the variable’s base address \( y_{base} \).

UVE leverages this model with a set of descriptors that encode each affine function’s parameters (depicted later in Figure 3). This scheme allows achieving higher representation complexity through the combination of multiple descriptors and specific modifiers. To model interloop induction-variable dependencies...
(e.g., when the loop conditions are generated by the iteration of an outer loop—imperfect loops), a static modifier allows assigning the result of an affine function to the limits of the indexing variables of another function. To model indirect memory accesses, an indirect modifier is used to assign the data obtained by the sequence of addresses generated by one an affine function to the offset, stride, or indexing variable limits of another function.

However, the viability and proliferation of stream specializations, such as those proposed in UVE, can only be assured through an effective support on standard compilers. This requires detecting the memory access patterns in a loop that are amenable to streaming, as well as their representation using the target streaming model, and extending the compiler’s capabilities to support implicit memory accesses and loop control.

### Compiler Support for Data Streaming

The typical structure of a modern compiler is composed of a sequence of phases that progressively lower the level of abstraction from the programming language to the machine code (see Figure 2(a)). The LLVM compiler performs these operations by first parsing the source code into a structural syntax representation abstract syntax tree (AST) and then translating it to its IR. The IR is then optimized and, finally, lowered to a machine-specific IR and compiled to machine code.

Hence, the LLVM IR can be regarded as a low-level representation of the application code that follows the SSA form to canonicalize the loop structure and the iteration of induction variables (see Figure 1(b)). As such, it represents a powerful baseline for the implementation of several analyses and transformations for optimizing the application code. However, it is known to struggle with the modeling and optimization of high-level abstractions used by domain-specific constructs or nonconventional computing paradigms (such as data streaming). As a result, these paradigms often either rely on domain-specific languages (DSLs) or develop custom IRs to interface with the compiler and implement domain-specific optimizations [as illustrated in Figure 2(b)].

Despite their success in some cases, DSLs and custom IRs work at a higher level of abstraction and eventually map to LLVM IR. However, the implicit memory access and loop control characteristics of stream-based extensions are present on a lower level of abstraction than the IR and, as such, are themselves fundamentally incompatible with its operation. In fact, explicitly removing load/store instructions and induction variables from the loop would not only invalidate its iteration (since a trip count could not be kept) but would also make the computation code invariant (since the source input/output values would be eliminated), effectively turning the loop into dead code, resulting in its later elimination during IR optimization.

Nonetheless, it is still possible to take advantage of the IR loop canonical form to detect memory access patterns and encode data streams, as demonstrated by the SSR supporting toolchain. Although SSR only supports constant-strided patterns, the underlying mathematical model of UVE hints that it is possible to take a step further. In fact, since our model is based on the same affine relations that are used to canonicalize loops, it can not only be used to encode much more complex multidimensional, induction-dependent, and indirect memory access patterns, but also used as a reference to detect the combinations of induction variables that match those patterns.

Hence, our proposed compilation flow relies on a dedicated pass that uses the model from (1) to extract all the loop information from the IR. It operates outside the typical compilation pipeline (as depicted in Figure 2(c)) to perform stream encoding, stream-based code transformations, and apply stream vectorization without being limited by the SSA form. The code is then compiled to UVE and linked to the remaining compiled code.

### Compilation Flow for Stream-Based Vector Extensions

Our proposed compilation flow [see Figure 2(c)] is fully implemented as an LLVM IR analysis and transformation pass. It works by analyzing an application kernel represented in LLVM IR to encode each loop’s data streams and obtain the corresponding computational DFG. The obtained information is then used to perform stream vectorization and generate UVE machine code. To fully take advantage of the LLVM IR, we let the compiler run all the passes that fully optimize the code, but without running any form of vectorization or loop unrolling (by using flags -03 -fno-unroll-loops -fno-vectorize). This way, we ensure that all loops and induction variables are in the canonical form and that loop-invariant code motion is applied.

### Memory Access Pattern Detection

The proposed flow starts by analyzing the optimized IR of an application kernel to detect its loop hierarchy and control-flow, by making use of the built-in LLVM IR functions. Data streams are detected and encoded to descriptors with an initial search for load/store instructions in
FIGURE 3. Illustration of each step of the LLVM IR pass that implements our proposed compilation flow, including depictions of (a) the memory access pattern detection (multidimensional, induction-, and data-dependent) and stream encoding schemes; and (b) the vectorization and code generation procedures.
the loop structure, while marking them as stream candidates. Then, for each candidate, we perform a depth-first search (DFS) trace to find the corresponding GetElementPtr (GEP) instruction and its corresponding offset and induction variable. With this reference pair, two dependence analyses are performed to: 1) identify the relation between the induction variable and the loop control, obtaining its iteration parameters according to the affine model of (1); and 2) relate the offset with other induction variables (higher data pattern dimensions) or other load/store instructions (access indirection).

Each stream candidate is analyzed to validate if stream vectorization is possible. In particular, if aliasing can occur between two (or more) memory accesses, the tool discards them as stream candidates to avoid intersections between streams. Similarly, the presence of loop-carried dependencies (e.g., read-after-write memory accesses) will also cause stream candidates to be discarded, since they are not susceptible to vectorization. Although they could be translated to scalar streams, new stream coherence mechanisms currently not supported by stream-based extensions would be required.

When considering the trace performed over the induction variables, several situations may occur that immediately dictate the type of memory access pattern present in the loop. The most common scenario is the detection of a purely affine iteration, where the variable’s initial value and limit are statically defined outside the loop, and the step is found by tracing the parent phi and add instructions. This results in a straightforward n-dimensional descriptor encoding (as illustrated in Figure 3(a1)). However, in the presence of induction variable dependencies, the trace will detect a dependence between the phi node of an outer loop and the phi node of the induction variable. This indicates that either the initial or limit values of the induction variable are generated by an induction variable of an outer loop (see Figure 3(a2)). In this case, the descriptor encoding will be performed with static modifiers to represent the evolution of the induction variable interval over the loop iteration.

Finally, a data dependence between an induction variable and another load instruction translates into an indirection between another stream and the induction variable’s limits (see Figure 3(a3)). In this case, it is necessary to encode the output data as input of another stream with the aid of an indirect descriptor modifier. Similarly, dependencies can also occur between the offset and another load instruction. Such a scenario occurs when the original application code defines multidimensional accesses with pointer table structures (e.g., A[i][j]) instead of affine relations between indexing variables.
Stream-Based Vectorization

As previously discussed, our mathematical model allows the stream descriptors generated by the initial analysis step to effectively linearize multidimensional and indirect memory accesses. As such, vectorization is functionally achieved by allowing stream data to fill stream registers. However, it is necessary to ensure the synchronization between dimension iterations of each stream, according to the nesting level of the original data access and that of the corresponding computation.

Hence, an analysis is performed that detects the data flow of the computation between streams, matching it to their loop nesting level. This is done by building a DFG between the original scalar load/store instructions corresponding to each stream [see Figure 3(a3)].
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At this stage, the code generation step operates by first encoding all stream descriptors with the corresponding UVE instructions, placing them in the correct loop preambles, according to the loop hierarchy. The DFG generated in the previous step is then used to build the corresponding UVE computation loop. This is done by generating the stream computing instructions corresponding to each operation and wrapping the loop with branch instructions tied to the correct stream dimensions, and according to the performed locality synchronization. This process is illustrated in Figure 3(b).

Finally, the generated UVE code for each stream-vectorized loop is linked back to the remaining application code, which is compiled with the typical compilation flow [see Figure 2(c)].

EVALUATION

The proposed compilation flow was fully implemented in the LLVM 10.0.1 compiler infrastructure as an IR pass. We evaluated the proposed compilation tool by comparing it with the data stream detection and encoding capabilities of the SSR compiler,5 and with the vectorization capabilities of the ARM SVE Compiler (configured with flags -03, -march=armv8-a+sve and -fsimdmath). Comparisons against ARM SVE are done by using out-of-order processor setups [see Figure 4(a)] featuring 512-bit vectors, modeled using modified versions of the Gem5 simulator.7,12 A representative set of benchmarks from several application domains was used, as characterized in Figure 5(a). When compiled with the proposed tool, all benchmarks resulted in assembly codes equivalent to the manual implementations from the original UVE evaluation.7

Data Streaming and Vectorization Comparison

The base mathematical model of the proposed compilation flow allows a significant coverage regarding the detection and description of memory access patterns. This is emphasized when comparing our tool with the SSR compilation flow [see the left column of Figure 5(b)], as SSR is only capable of streaming up to 4-D access patterns with constant strides. Conversely, our mathematical model allows us to describe induction and data dependencies in the form of interloop induction variable relations and indirect memory accesses. With such capabilities, our proposed tool is able to accelerate a much broader range of complex loop hierarchies by enabling their vectorization, as it is often hardly done by existing compilers.

To highlight such advantages, the proposed tool was also compared with the ARM compiler, which fails to vectorize five benchmarks [see Figure 5(b)], namely Seidel-2D, MMR (both variants), Covariance, and Floyd-Warshall. Conversely, the proposed tool is capable of handling the higher loop complexities of these benchmarks and achieve vectorization. This is a direct result of the memory access linearization that occurs with the introduction of the UVE data streaming paradigm.

Performance Comparison

The performance results (speedup) presented in Figure 5(c) show that the compiled UVE code provides an average performance advantage (as high as 2.4×) over the ARM SVE (considering only the vectorized benchmarks). These gains result from two main contributions: 1) significant code reductions [see Figure 5(d)], with an average 60.9% less committed instructions than ARM SVE; and 2) the streaming infrastructure, which significantly reduces the load-to-use latency and increases the effective memory hierarchy utilization. These advantages also contribute to a consequent reduction of the pipeline stalls, particularly at the rename stage. In fact, by decreasing the number of instructions in the code, UVE alleviates the pressure at the reorder buffer and issue queue. On the other hand, by reducing the load-to-use latency, UVE allows incoming instructions to leave the pipeline earlier, decreasing the pressure on the physical register file.

CONCLUSION

The recent resurgence of data streaming and data-flow paradigms on general-purpose contexts opened space for a new era of computing acceleration. However, their sustained viability can only be assured with the
development of proper compilation support. Nevertheless, modern compilers still struggle to handle the unconventional data-flow and data-streaming execution models, generally incompatible with the SSA form often used by compiler IRs. To circumvent this limitation, we propose a new alternative compilation flow that leverages the LLVM IR to analyze a loop memory access patterns and data-flow graphs. With the gathered information, we obtain a high-level data streaming representation that can be directly compiled for stream-based vector extensions and linked to conventional machine code.
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